Vanity project or serious research?

Derek M. Jones
<derek@knosof.co.uk>

Researcher's view of their work



Figure 1. My amazing ideas

Industry view of academic researchers



Figure 2. Who let him in?

Is this guy serious?

Believable evidence provided?

Worthwhile improvement demonstrated?

Name and shame

Serious research

- based on experimental evidence
- replicated

Vanity project

Example experiment

The Empirical Investigation of Perspective-Based Reading, Basili et al

My own experiments

http://www.knosof.co.uk/dev-experiment.html

Structure of experiment

Dav 1	Group 1	Group 2	
Dayı	NASA A ATM	NASA B PG	
Day 2			
	Perspective-based reading		
training			
	PG NASA B	ATM NASA A	

Independent var SUBJ RUN	riables: subject identifier experimental run (1994,		
1995)			
RTECH	reading technique (USUAL,		
PBR)			
ORDER	First/Second day		
PRSP	perspective (NONE, DES,		
USER, TEST)			
YEXP	years experience in the		
assigned perspective			
DKIND	document read		
NASA/generic(ATM, PG)			
Dependent varia	ables:		
TDPC	percentage of true defects		
found			
TDNO	number of true defects found		
TIME	time to finish (in minutes)		
FPNO	number of false positives		
FPPC	percentage of false		
positives (derived)			
TDPH	number of true defects found		
per hour (derived)			

Data analysis

Mixed-effects regression model

Empirical Software Engineering using R http://www.knosof.co.uk/ESEUR/

Commonly seen result

```
Generalized linear mixed model fit by
maximum likelihood (Laplace
 Approximation) [glmerMod]
Family: binomial ( logit )
Formula: cbind(TDNO, DNOTFOUND) ~ ORDER +
DKIND + (1 | SUBJ)
  Data: complete 95
    AIC BIC logLik deviance
df.resid
  279.9 287.7 -136.0 271.9
48
Random effects:
Groups Name
            Variance Std.Dev.
 SUBJ (Intercept) 0.3448 0.5872
Number of obs: 52, groups: SUBJ, 13
Fixed effects:
           Estimate Std. Error z value
Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -0.8576 0.1948 -4.403
1.07e-05 ***
ORDER
            -0.2972
                       0.1327 -2.240
0.0251 *
DKINDNASA 0.9718 0.1366 7.115
1.12e-12 ***
____
Signif. codes: 0 ?***? 0.001 ?**? 0.01 ?*?
0.05 ?.? 0.1 ? ? 1
```

```
Correlation of Fixed Effects:
(Intr) ORDER
ORDER -0.319
DKINDNASA -0.273 -0.030
```

Worthwhile improvement

X% faster ... Y% cheaper

Allows lower cost people to do the job

Basic research

Models of how people read http://www.knosof.co.uk/cbook/

Eye Movements in Programming http://emipws.org/ 420 emails: "I have been reading your interesting paper"

Table 1. Responses to email requestfor data.

Response	Count	Percent
Received data	136	32%
No reply	132	32%
Pending (received a positive reply)	49	12%
Confidential	42	10%
No longer have the data	20	5%
Best known address bounces	11	3%