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## Real-world problems and fitness landscape analysis

Two combinatorial black-box problems :

- Engineering design problem :

Design of the control program of rods
Toward landscape aware parameter settings

- Cellular automata problem :

Design of a complex system program
One more step to understand why it is possible
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## Energy production problem

PhD of Mathieu Muniglia, Saclay Nuclear Research Centre (CEA), Paris


## Challenge of the NPP control

Optimize the nuclear power plant (NPP) toward better manageability,
so they can cope with huge power variations

## Real-world black-box combinatorial optimization problem

 PhD of Mathieu Muniglia, Saclay Nuclear Research Centre (CEA), Paris
$\longrightarrow$ Circuit primaire
$\longrightarrow$ Signaux
Multi-physic simulator

- core : neutronics, thermalhydraulics, fuel,
- boron management
- steam generator model

Expensive computation : 40 minutes of simulation

## Program of the control rods



Insertion sequence of the PS rods :


- Power Shimming rods: 4 groups: G1, G2, N1, N2
- Temperature Regulation rods : 1 group : R

Speed program of the TR rods:


Parametric program defined by $n=11$ integers :

|  | PSR Overlaps |  |  | PSR Velocities |  |  |  | TRR V. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $o_{1}$ | $o_{2}$ | $o_{3}$ | $v_{1}$ | $v_{2}$ | $v_{3}$ | $v_{4}$ | $V$ | $v$ | mb | db |
| lower b. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 8 |
| upper b. | 255 | 255 | 255 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 13 | 13 | 117 | 16 |
| ref. val. | 185 | 175 | 160 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 72 | 8 | 27 | 8 |

## Nuclear Reactor Operation Optimization problem [MDG ${ }^{+} 16$ ]

## Control diagram


$\left(P_{r, i}, \Delta I_{i}\right)$ : state of the core

## Objective function

$x \in X$, set of integers vectors,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)= \\
& \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i}\left|P_{r, i+1}^{2}-P_{r, i}^{2}\right| \cdot\left(D\left(\Delta I_{i+1}\right)+D\left(\Delta I_{i}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where :

- $D\left(\Delta I_{i}\right)=\left|\Delta I_{i}-\Delta I_{i}^{\text {ref }}\right|$
- $\Delta I_{i}^{\text {ref }}$ : the power axial imbalance


## Asynchronous distributed $(1+\lambda)$-Evolution Strategy

Master-slaves architecture

## Algorithm on Master

```
\(\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\lambda}\right\} \leftarrow \operatorname{Initialization()}\)
for \(i=1 . . \lambda\) do
    Send (Non-blocking) \(x_{i}\) to slave \(S_{i}\)
    end for
    \(x_{\text {best }} \leftarrow \emptyset\), and \(f_{\text {best }} \leftarrow \infty\)
    repeat
    if there is a pending mess. from \(S_{i}\) then
        Receive fitness \(f_{i}\) of \(x_{i}\) from \(S_{i}\)
        if \(f_{i} \leqslant f_{\text {best }}\) then
            \(x_{\text {best }} \leftarrow x_{i}\), and \(f_{\text {best }} \leftarrow f_{i}\)
        end if
        \(x_{i} \leftarrow\) mutation \(\left(x_{\text {best }}\right)\)
        Send (Non-blocking) \(x_{i}\) to slave \(S_{i}\)
        end if
    until time limit
```


## Parameter tuning : Mutation

Parametric program defined by $n=11$ integers :

|  | PSR Overlaps |  |  | PSR Velocities |  |  |  | TRR V. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $o_{1}$ | $o_{2}$ | $o_{3}$ | $v_{1}$ | $v_{2}$ | $v_{3}$ | $v_{4}$ | $V$ | $v$ | mb | db |
| lower b. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 8 |
| upper b. | 255 | 255 | 255 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 13 | 13 | 117 | 16 |
| ref. val. | 185 | 175 | 160 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 72 | 8 | 27 | 8 |

## Mutation operator

## Parameters :

- For each integer, a mutation rate is defined by $p$ (default $p=1 / n$ )
- For each integer, a mutation range is defined by $\delta$ (default $5 \%\left(\overline{x_{i}}-\underline{x_{i}}\right)$ )

Procedure :

- For each integer, the value is changed according to the rate of mutation (Bernoulli law of parameter $p$ )
- When an integer is modified, a random value (uniform distribution) is pick from : $\left[x_{i}-\delta, x_{i}+\delta\right] \cap\left[x_{i}, \overline{x_{i}}\right] \backslash\left\{x_{i}\right\}$


## Performance vs. mutation parameters values



- Baseline settings :
punctuated equilibrium dynamics

- Impact of parameters values
- Best settings :

$$
p=0.2, r=0.5
$$

## Fitness landscape analysis

One random walk of length $10^{3}$ for each mutation operator values

- Autocorrelation length $k:|\rho(k)| \leqslant 4 / \sqrt{\ell}$
- Neutral degree rate : estimated with $\ell-1$ solutions



In short : $r$ impacts the ruggedness, $p$ impacts the neutrality

## Fitness landscape features vs. performance

Features of fitness landscape related to the performance?



In short : possible to tune mutation parameters based on the fitness landscape analysis (but the more rugged, the better!) Future work : bi-objective optimization...

## Cellular automata

- Discrete dynamical system
- Set of finite state machines
- Program : transition function
- Model of decentralized computation

Transition function :
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## Firing Squad Synchronization Problem John Myhill, 1957, published by Edward Moore, 1964 [Moo64]

Synchronization problem of decentralized computation nodes without global coordinator and bounded communication

## The problem

- One-dimensional cellular automata
- Communication with left and right hands
- Initial configuration :
one cell in state "general", the others cells are in " rest" state
- All cells in "rest" state remains " rest"
- Goal : Find the set of rules such that all cells reach for the first time the
 "firing" state at the same time


## Firing Squad Synchronization Problem

## Precisions

- Neighborhood of a cell : left, middle and, right cells
- Special rules for left and right boundaries number of rules for $k$ states : $n r_{k}=(k-1)^{3}+2(k-1)^{2}-3$ number of CA for $k$ states: $k^{n r_{k}}$.
- Same rules (CA) for all lengths $n: \forall n \geq 2, \mathrm{fssp}_{n}(C A)$ true.
- Minimal time : $2 n-2$ time steps for $n$ cells
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## History of the problem [Yun07]

Non-minimal time :

- 1967, Minsky \& McMarthy [Min67] 15 states, $3 n+O(\log (n))$ time steps

Minimal time :

- 1962, E. Goto [Got62] : $\approx 10^{6}$ states
- 1967, Waksman : 16 states, Balzer : 8 states [Bal67]
- 1987, Mazoyer [Maz87], 6 states

Minimal time with 4 states :

- 1967, No solution [Bal67] [San94]
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Mazoyer
"But I lost another solution..."

## FSSP as a black-box combinatorial optimization problem

## Associated optimization problem

- Search space : Set of all CA with 6 states,
- Objective function :
largest length of synchronized cells

$$
f(x)=n \text { iff } \forall i \in[2, n], \operatorname{fssp}_{i}(x)=\text { true and } \mathrm{fssp}_{n+1}(x)=\text { false }
$$

## Huge search space : Brut force fails

For $k=6$ states :
number of rules: $n r_{k}=(k-1)^{3}+2(k-1)^{2}-3=172$
number of CA: $\sharp X=k^{n r_{k}} \approx 10^{133}$

## Goal

Find one maximum of $f$ which synchronizes the largest length.

## Iterated Local Search

Choose randomly initial solution $x \in X$ $x \leftarrow \mathrm{hc}\left(x, e_{h c}\right)$
Initialize the number of evaluation $e_{\text {tot }}$
repeat
$y \leftarrow$ perturbation $_{k}(x)$
$z \leftarrow \mathrm{hc}\left(y, e_{h c}\right)$, and update the number of evaluation $e_{\text {tot }}$
if $f(x) \leq f(z)$ then $x \leftarrow z$
end if
until $e_{\text {tot }} \geq e_{\text {max }}$

- first-improvement hill-climbing with $\leqslant$ acceptance criterion
- neighborhood relation: modification of 1 rule
- Perturbation : randomly modify $k$ rules
- Number of evaluations: $100 \times 10^{9}$



## Problem solved

## 2665 different solutions found

 (synchronization until $n=10^{3}$ )Number of successful runs (over 200)

| hc eval $e_{h c}$ | Perturbation $k$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\left(\times 10^{6}\right)$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | cumu. |
| 0.5 | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |
| 0.7 | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | 1 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| 0.9 | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |
| 1.1 | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| 1.5 | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ |
| 5.0 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1 | 0 | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| cumu. | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | 20 | 126 |

A minimal Kolmogorov complexity solution 80 (human + LS $)<119$ rules (human + paper)

## Why it works?

## Citation from an CA expert

"Local search can't work for solving a CA problem because when you change one rule, everything change"

## But...

From the point of view of Local Search :

- A lot a one-rule modifications do not change the space-time diagram
- The rules which are not used for length $n$ could be benefit for length $n+1$
- With "high" probability, it exists some modifications which can improve the CA


## Fitness landscape analysis

Fitness cloud :


Average fitness in the neighborhood

Neutrality :


Neutral degree

- Performance of neighboring solution is correlated
- Same performance for $\approx 4$ neighbors (from used rules) + equal fitness neighbors from unused rules.

Surprisingly, some local modifications of program are useful

## Discussions

## Fitness landscape analysis

- Helps to understand the structure of real-world problems
- Possible way to tune the parameters of local search heuristics.
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