

Early Failure Prediction in Feature Request Management Systems

Camilo Fitzgerald

Emmanuel Letier Anthony Finkelstein

UCL Computer Science

31st August 2011

Feature Request Forums

- Web-based requirements elicitation, analysis and management
- Used to decide whether to develop or reject features suggested by stakeholders:
 - Stakeholders' needs not understood
 - Poor requirements management and decisions

...Process and Product Failures



Upfront Analysis Problem

How much requirements analysis should be devoted to a feature before deciding to reject or implement it?

Too little - More process and product failures

Too much - Wasted time and effort



Approach

- Study failures traceable to early stage requirements decisions
- Generate failure prediction models from historical project data
- Cost-model for estimating the value gained from actioning a set of failure predictions



Overview

Feature Request Failures

Value of Failure Predictions

Experiments and Results



Feature Request Failures

Product Failure	Bug reported on feature after product integration
Abandoned Implementation	Rejected after code development
Rejection Reversal	Integrated into product after prior rejection
Stalled Development	Assigned for development without progress for a year
Removed Feature	Rejected after product integration



Failures in Firefox and Netbeans



Firefox: 6,397 features / 8.3 years Netbeans: 24,167 features / 10.3 years

Research Questions

- I. Is it possible to make early failures predictions reliable enough to be of value to projects?
- 2. What attributes of early feature requests discussions can be used as reliable predictors?



Overview

Feature Request Failures

Value of Failure Predictions

Experiments and Results

Valuing Failure Predictions

- A set of failure predictions will have imperfect precision and recall
- A cost-benefit model estimates the value gained from actioning predictions

Precision:	Correct Failure Predictions			
	Predicted Failures			
Recall:	Correct Failure Predictions			
	Total Failures			



Expected Value of Predictions

$$ExpectedValue = recall.(\alpha - \frac{1}{precision})$$

$$lpha = P_s \cdot rac{C_f}{C_a}$$

 $rac{C_f}{C_a}$ - Ratio of cost of action to cost of failure

 P_s - Probability that an action to prevent a failure will succeed

eg. Product Failures in the Firefox project: $P_s \cdot \frac{C_f}{C_a} = \alpha = 0.3*10 = 3$

Expected Value Baselines





Overview

Feature Request Failures

Value of Failure Predictions

Experiments and Results

Prediction Framework





Prediction Attributes

Text-based

Posts in discussion
Words per post
Words per discussion
Bag of words
TF-IDF

Meta-data

Code contributions
Time elapsed per post
Time elapsed for discussion
Participants
and % Posts by reporter
and % Posts by assignee

Predictors With Most Expected Value

	Product	Abandoned	Rejection	Stalled	Removed
	Failure	Implement.	Reversal	Development	Feature
Firefox	Posts in	Most	Most	Code	Most
	Discussion	Pessimistic	Optimistic	Contributions	Optimistic
Netbeans	Most Optimistic	TF-IDF	Words in Discussion	Precent by Assignee	Bag of Words



Firefox Product Failure Predictions



L C L

Experimental Findings

- Value could be gained by actioning early failure predictions in 6 of 10 experiments
- Predictions remain valuable even when α is uncertain
- Text-based predictors yielded far more expected value than meta-data predictors



Related Work

- Failure prediction at later stages of the software life-cycle [Nagappan et al. ICSE'06, Wolf et al. ICSE'09]
- Guidelines for good feature request management [Bettenburg et al. FSE'08]
- Machine learning for prioritisation and clustering of features [Duan et al. RE'09, Duan & Cleland-Huang ASE'07]



Conclusion

- Early failure predictions determine when to perform further upfront requirements analysis
- Contributions:
 - Failure definitions
 - Early failure prediction framework
 - Cost-model for actioning predicted failures
- Future work:
 - More case studies
 - Better predictive models